## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

regarding the motions and is fully advised.

Plaintiff,

v.

KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON; DOW CONSTANTINE, in his official capacity as King County Executive,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:20-cv-00203-RJB

ORDER ON UNITED STATES'
MOTION TO STRIKE AND
REGARDING PROPOSED ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the United States' motion to strike (Dkt. 79) and on issuance of a proposed order on the United States' Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, or, in the alternative, for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 69) and Defendants King County, Washington and King County Executive Dow Constantine's (collectively "King County" or "County") Cross Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 72). The Court has considered the pleadings filed

**Motion to Strike**. For purposes of the pending motions alone, the United States' motion to strike (Dkt. 79) should be denied without prejudice. The United States moves to strike the

ORDER ON UNITED STATES' MOTION TO STRIKE AND REGARDING PROPOSED ORDER - 1

1	Expert Report of Thomas J. Kinton dated February 14, 2023 (Dkt. 77-5) which was filed for the
2	first time with the County's reply to the United States' response to the County's motion for
3	summary judgment. While the Court ordinarily does not consider new evidence filed with a
4	reply, the report was not available until after the parties filed their motions and responses.
5	Consideration of the report does not prejudice the United States. In any event, while the Court
6	considered the report, it was of little help in deciding the pending motions.
7	<b>Proposed Order</b> . Oral argument on the United States' Motion for Judgment on the
8	Pleadings, or, in the alternative, for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 69) and the County's Cross
9	Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 72) is set for March 21, 2023. Attached is a proposed
10	order on these motions. THIS IS A DRAFT ORDER ISSUED FOR DISCUSSION
11	PURPOSES ONLY. At oral argument, counsel should particularly address: "D. EXECUTIVE
12	ORDER VIOLATES INSTRUMENT OF TRANSFER" (Page 15 – 20 of Proposed Order).
13	Other argument, if any, will also be considered.
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.
15	The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and
16	to any party appearing pro se at said party's last known address.
17	Dated this 14 <sup>th</sup> day of March, 2023.
18	P. J. A.Z
19	Mary 10 yan
20	ROBERT J. BRYAN United States District Judge
21	
22	
23	

24